



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/577,268

12/18/2006

Tove Ringerike

76222-PCT-US/GJG

2090

23432 7590 08/29/2011

COOPER & DUNHAM, LLP
30 Rockefeller Plaza
20th Floor
NEW YORK, NY 10112

EXAMINER

HIBBERT, CATHERINE S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1636

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/29/2011

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/577,268	Applicant(s) RINGERIKE ET AL.	
	Examiner Catherine Hibbert	Art Unit 1636	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Catherine Hibbert, Examiner. (3)_____.

(2) Attorney Gary J. Gerschik. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 25 August 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☐Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 8 and 45.

Identification of prior art discussed: NA.

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Examiner discussed that there were discrepancies regarding the plasmid identification pertaining to the claims 8 and 45, as written, and the specification particularly in the Figures and in the Sequence Listing. Applicant's representative said that he would be sending in a Supplemental Amendment immediately to rectify the discrepancies.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Catherine Hibbert/ Examiner, Art Unit 1636	
--	--